

Electro-Magnetic Interference Reduction Techniques

Lee Stephens, Systems Engineer
Kollmorgen
540-633-3545
contactus@kollmorgen.com
www.kollmorgen.com

EMI (Electro-Magnetic Interference) comes in different forms, frequencies, and levels. Electronic equipment has different categories for both emissions and susceptibility. Under normal circumstances elimination is not possible so reduction to acceptable levels is what can be reasonably achieved. Coupling factors, rise time, emissions and susceptibility are phrases that must be understood with confidence. It is less “Black Art” than the urban legends lead you to believe. It’s well founded in principal and practice.

Understanding of the coupling methods of EMI is necessary in order to reduce emissions and susceptibility. Some of the techniques for shielding are effective for both but there are issues that must be handled differently. On the susceptibility side, to make a system more immune, the reception path of the EMI or noise needs understanding.

Most of the power devices today are switch mode. PWM (Pulse Width Modulation) techniques are used in more and more devices at lower power levels to achieve greater efficiency. Concerns of radiated and received noise are falling into lower and lower categories. Techniques once thought only necessary for high power electronics are now migrating into low level power supplies and regulators. Linear devices for all their inherent good traits of EMI are just too inefficient.

Coupling Factors

- *Conducted Noise* is usually through the AC line and requires a RLC type filter. Various companies like Schaffner, ONEAC, and ABB just to name a few manufacture these.


- *Magnetically Coupled Noise* is a phenomenon that has sufficient power to turn data lines (Optocouplers) on. The process requires an emitter and receiver so you must shield both the source and reception devices. You should also attenuate the source whenever possible. Attenuation can be achieved by inductance or PWM conditioning (slowing the rise-time) in most applications. This can occur on two planes or reference, common mode or differential mode. Magnetic inducted noise follows the path of least inductance! This noise will be limited by reducing the inductance of the intended path to less than the inductance of the unintended path. You must maintain that the area that a conductor encircles is proportional to the inductance. If there is an opportunity for a signal to couple into a circuit that is closer in proximity (less inductance through less area) than you may induce a voltage onto that circuit.

- *Capacitive Induced* noise is a noise that does not have power, but can wreak havoc with high-speed data lines, analog or high impedance inputs. Typically this is one of the easier noise coupling mechanisms to resolve. Issues begin when a capacitive induced signal gets into an amplifier. Now it can have the power to radiate very high frequencies that the system is not prepared for. The identification of this is that it is usually high frequency (100 MHz or higher) and has a net zero DC voltage value when observing the signal through an oscilloscope.

•Radiated or RFI noise requires a transmission source and reception antenna that is at least $\lambda/20$ long (wavelength/20). You must also be $\frac{1}{2} \lambda$ away from the source minimum. It is the least likely source of trouble in most systems. At 100 MHz, $\lambda/20$ is 1.54 meters since λ is 30 meters.

With an understanding of the coupling factors, you can assess what your problem is, make a qualified judgment as to its cause, and decide what the mechanism of coupling is. Armed with this knowledge, you can use proven methods to reduce the cause and affect, whether it is to meet an EMC (Electro-Magnetic Compliance) standard or if you simply want to reduce spurious failures of an electronic circuit. Servo amplifiers, power supplies, and electronics with clock frequencies all must work uninterrupted from outside interference as well as not interfere with themselves. Sensitive analog circuitry must be immune from the interference of outside radiated signals and still be able to conduct their function.


With all noise immunity measures, things start with a good grounding and shielding scheme. The first rule in grounding is safety first. No safety ground can be compromised in the interest of noise immunity. There are times when it appears to contradict a good grounding scheme to have multiple earth grounds in a system, but if the safety code requires it, learn to work with it. The ground should be a non-current carrying conductor. Only in failure would there be current. It is a reference. Phases, Neutral and shields can all be current carrying at times, but the ground should be at reference.



Grounding and Shielding Techniques

- ✓ A ground is not a current carrying conductor in normal operation.
- ✓ A shield is always connected to ground and is also not normally a current carrying conductor.
- ✓ A shield may have stray currents that are given a path to ground.


Now here is where some definitions and discrepancies sometimes exist. Anyone that has used single ended analog circuitry in the past will know of certain rules pertaining to the grounds and shields that are somewhat in conflict with operation in today’s environments. These rules indicate that the best grounding practice is to ground at “one end” at the “source” and definitely worked for the purpose of analog signals prone to 50 or 60 Hz noise induced by the power. I am not going to say that these are obsolete, but they are not likely to be effective with EMC currently.



The approach currently is to use differential mode products that are grounded at both ends. The grounds should be braided shields for use near power electronics and their subsequent magnetically induced noise. The coverage of this cable should be at least 85% or greater and have a 360 degree clamp to earth ground. Any cable required for bringing earth ground to a chassis or mounting surface should be a braided style like a 0000 AWG that is as short as possible with a direct path to the incoming earth ground reference. Having any sort of loop in this will defeat the purpose.

Why the Braided Shields and Braided Cables?

Braided shields offer the most protection against stray magnetically induced noise in the 30 – 100 MHz band, and with 85% or greater coverage it will reduce RFI interference significantly below 500 MHz. The braided wire for grounds is done because the surface area of a braid is significantly greater than solid wire or stranded wire. Given the skin effect of high frequency interference, the greater surface area is imperative. It is effective for both immunity and emissions. When a noise of less than 100 MHz is within the shield, it will have a large inductive path in order to couple onto an unintended circuit that could cause harm. Should frequencies of much higher than 100 MHz have to be guarded against, then greater than 85% coverage will be necessary.



What About Foil Shields?

Foil shields are typically used for high frequency capacitive coupled noise. Clock frequencies leaking out of an enclosure many times fall into this category. A foil shield is not an effective shield for magnetic noise. The reason for this is that the power of a magnetically induced spike will quickly saturate the relatively thin foil and couple onto the cable. Foil provides 100% coverage and thus a very good shield against high frequency. In some cases, the use of both foil and braided shields is used. Many RG-59 cables have this. This is the cable used to bring your television signal from your cable company or satellite once it is converted. There is a reason that they are used in RF signals on your television. Interference will cause signal integrity loss or crosstalk of other signals. Usually the signals from cable or satellite are converted to VHF (Very High Frequency Band) and that may contain local TV stations (Soon to be obsolete!) that can interfere if the shielding were less than adequate. The upper band of the VHF spectrum is 300 MHz so using a little math; the wavelength or lambda is 1 meter. Any exposed area of $1/20^{\text{th}}$ of a meter or 5 cm can have this induced upon the signal. Less than 2 inches of exposed cable is an invitation for this.

Why Do You Need Twisted Pairs?


Twisted pairs offer the least amount of area between conductors of a given circuit and hence, the inductive area. Since a major contributor to induced noise is the inductance, then reducing the area between the conductors will encourage high frequency stray magnetic currents to stay within the circuit. So a good reason to twist the pairs is for reducing the emissions by reducing the inductance of the intended path! The reason for the twisting the pairs also has an immunity value. Since the twisted pair cannot encircle something within its signal and return, inducing a noise on a twisted pair even without a shield would require a significant signal and high frequency.

Power Supplies and Servo Amplifiers

Switch-mode power supplies and servo amplifiers are prone to noise emission and immunity to noise. Due to the higher power, there are two major areas of concern related to coupling, direct coupling and inductive coupling. There are two modes of concern as well. Common mode noise and differential mode noise are handled differently and need understanding.

Direct Coupled noise can have a non-zero voltage average (DC offset!). Inductive coupled noise cannot. The most common way in which to eliminate direct-coupled noise is through filtering. Emissions and immunity are concerns. There are times that a servo drive can be its own noise source and receiver when it comes to direct coupled noise. I have seen issues where direct-coupled noise has come from the drive itself, reflected to the line and come back due to a stiff coupling of the line.

Common Mode Inductive Noise



Common-Mode of PWM Measured with a Current Probe


This noise occurs with the complex model of a motor or load of a servo amplifier of power supply. The inductance and capacitance not being equal in a three-phase motor can create stray currents that are common to all three phases and the ground. When this occurs, the current spikes can go unnoticed by standard measurement techniques. On the right is a plot from an oscilloscope and current probe across three leads of the motor. The spikes are occurring at the edge of each commutation of a 16 KHz PWM frequency. Because of the way this propagates, you can have paths that you didn't account for or possibly cannot eliminate entirely. A method that is often successful is to create a higher



Common-Mode Choke

inductive path in common with all three leads. If the currents were subjected to an inductance in common with all three leads, then you would have the noise attenuated. Ferrite "doughnuts" are commonly used for this or a Common-Mode Choke. You can have clamp style or wind the cables through a ferrite ring. The net series inductance of a common mode choke is near zero, so your performance is not affected in the intended direction of the current.


What Does Common-Mode Noise Look Like?



13.6 Ampere Peak Signal in Common-Mode


When clamped across the three phases of a drive with a current probe, you can have significantly ringing signals. In cases where equipment is completely laid out, you may be required to accept the limitations that you cannot be perfect, but improve within an acceptable level. The signal on the right is an unacceptable signal for this application. It is a common mode signal measured in an application where an 18-ampere drive had common mode issues. This was intermittently affecting digital signals in the system. The equipment was already completely designed and a total layout change was not possible. The noise was measured at 13.6 amperes peak. Improvements can be made and the following

improvement gave a large margin of immunity for the digital errors that were seen previously. Using a combination of proper shielding and a common mode choke, the signal ringing was eliminated. The signal at the right shows the affect of a common mode Ferrite inductor on the signal. The amplitude was reduced to a level that it did not couple within the digital signals and integrity of the system was improved. A re-designed cabinet would result in improved performance from this. Good layout, good grounding and proper shielding are more easily employed with upfront design.



6.6 Ampere Peak with Common Mode Inductor


What Does EMI Look Like?



Magnetically Induced Signal from PWM

A very important amount of information is available by looking at the EMI signals. With some knowledge of coupling and noise, it can be determined that the noise is common mode, differential mode. Observation of the signal can also identify its coupling mechanism. Is this a radiated noise, inductively coupled, direct coupled, or is it capacitive noise? The AC coupled noise in the picture to the left differs from noise that is direct coupled. The induced signal is symmetric about zero. In other words, AC coupled. It has a characteristic high frequency component but has significant power. This is the real issue with magnetically coupled noise; it can turn on or even destroy devices. Capacitively coupled noise would have nowhere near the power levels and usually can couple a significantly higher frequency component. Generally when speaking of less than 10MHz, we are more likely in the magnetically coupled arena.

The picture to the right is a direct-coupled noise that has a residual DC offset. To eliminate this noise, the signal must be de-coupled from the signal. Should you try to use inductance to resolve, it's possible to make the signal into a more problematic issue. Identifying the mode and coupling factors is the first strategic move at resolution. The tools used to measure are usually oscilloscopes, Spectrum Analyzers, and current probes. The importance of identifying the noise is because the solutions for each type of noise are unique and specific. Capacitive coupling will not be resolved with inductive solutions and vice versa.



Direct Coupled Noise with a Residual DC Offset

Mathematical analysis can reveal something about the characteristics of the signal. Using a variation of ohms law, consider that $(dv/dt) / (di/dt)$ of the signal. If it is less than 377 ohms, fits the criteria for magnetically induced noise. Equal to 377 ohms, you have the requirements of radiated noise. If it is greater than 377 ohms, then you have capacitively coupled noise. Given all information that you have with some simple analysis, and you can be well on your way to the resolution of the issue. Numerous other methods of identifying noise are not possible to be covered in their entirety here.

ABOUT KOLLMORGEN

[Kollmorgen](http://www.kollmorgen.com) is a leading provider of motion systems and components for machine builders around the globe, with over 60 years of motion control design and application expertise.

Through world-class knowledge in motion, industry-leading quality and deep expertise in linking and integrating standard and custom products, Kollmorgen delivers breakthrough solutions unmatched in performance, reliability and ease-of-use, giving machine builders an irrefutable marketplace advantage.

For more information visit www.kollmorgen.com, email contactus@kollmorgen.com or call 1-540-633-3545.